

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/751,507	HAGELSTEIN ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Tim Heitbrink	1722

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Tim Heitbrink.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Jason Eisenberg.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 14 July 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic

Video Conference

Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*1, 22, 23, 24, 25*

Prior art documents discussed:

*of record*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Mr. Eisenberg agreed to the change to claim 1 as set forth in the Examiner's Amendment.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)